390x. F. J. C. St. Officer.

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

IN THE MATTER OF:

EB DOCKET NO. 03-152

WILLIAM L. ZAWILA

Hay 26 3 22 PH 'OH

## ORIGINAL.

DATE OF HEARING: MAY 12, 2004

VOLUME: 1

PLACE OF HEARING: WASHINGTON, D.C.

PAGES: 1-13

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

## BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C.

In the Matter Of:	) EB Docket No. ) 03-152
WILLIAM L. ZAWILA	)
Permittee of FM Station KINGS, Coalinga, California	) Facility ID No. ) 72672 )
AVENAL EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC. Permittee of FM Station KAAX, Avenal, California	) Facility ID No. ) 3365 )
CENTRAL VALLEY EDUCATIONAL	) ) Facility ID No. ) 9993
SERVICES, INC.	)
Permittee of FM Station KAJP, Firebaugh, California	) )
H.L. CHARLES D/B/A/ FORD CITY BROADCASTING	) Facility ID No.
Permittee of FM Station KZPE, Ford City, California	)
LINDA WARE D/B/A/ LINDSAY BROADCASTING	) Facility ID No.
Licensee of FM KZPO, Lindsay, California	) )

Hearing Room TW A363 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Wednesday, May 12, 2004 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

JUDGE ARTHUR STEINBERG

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

#### APPEARANCES:

## On Behalf of Western Pacific Broadcasting, Inc.:

SHELLEY SADOWSKY, ESQ. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. East Lobby, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20007 (202) 625-3719

### On Behalf of the Enforcement Bureau:

JAMES W. SHOOK, ESQ.
DAVID JANAS, ESQ.
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554
(202) 418-1420
(202) 418-2913

### On Behalf of Laser Broadcasting:

HARRY C. MARTIN, ESQ. 1300 North 17<sup>th</sup> Street 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Arlington, Virginia 22209 (703) 812-0415

I-N-D-E-X

**WITNESS:** 

<u>CROSS</u> <u>REDIRECT</u> <u>RECROSS</u>

None.

**EXHIBITS**:

IDENTIFIED

RECEIVED

None.

Start Time: 10:00 a.m. End Time: 10:14 a.m.

### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2	10:00 a.m.
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: This is a prehearing
4	conference in EB Docket No. 03-152. I scheduled the
5	conference for the purpose of considering the impact
6	on this proceeding of a letter dated April 16, 2004,
7	from the Chief of the Audio Division of the Media
8	Bureau, which dismissed as unacceptable for filing
9	certain Form 316 and Form 314 applications filed by
10	H.L. Charles, D/B/A Ford City Broadcasting, Linda
11	Ware, D/B/A Lindsey Broadcasting, and/or Laser
12	Broadcasting Corporation.
13	And, why don't I, since we haven't met in
14	a while, why don't we just state for the record your
15	appearances here today.
16	Ms. SadowskY?
17	MS. SADOWSKY: Your Honor, I'm here on
18	behalf of the estates of Linda Ware and H.L. Charles.
19	JUDGE STEINBERG: And, Mr. Martin?
20	MR. MARTIN: I'm here on behalf of Laser
21	Broadcasting Corporation.
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, and you are the
23	proposed -
24	MR. MARTIN: Assignee of the two stations
25	owned by the estates.

1 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. And, Mr. Shook 2 and Mr. Janas? 3 MR. SHOOK: James Shook and David Janas on behalf of the Chief Enforcement Bureau. 4 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Basically, I 6 just called the conference to find out what's going on 7 in light of the April 16th letter. If you feel 8 comfortable telling me - well, I know how it's 9 impacted, I can guess how it's impacted you, but if 10 you feel like you can share what your plans are and 11 how you are going to proceed, and then I can consider 12 that and determine where we go from here. 13 MS. SADOWSKY: Your Honor, on behalf of the 14 will be filing a Petition estates, we 15 Reconsideration. The deadline for that filing is May 16 24th, by our calculations, and we intend to file by 17 that date. 18 And therein, I can tell you that we intend 19 to provide letters testamentary which have been issued since the decision - I'm sorry, which will have been 20 21 issued since the decision came out on April 16th. The petitions for probate filed by the 22 23 executors were granted by the Superior Court of the 24 State of California in Orange County on May 6th. It's 25 my understanding that letters testamentary, typically,

1	are issued within a week thereafter, so we expect to
2	receive the letters testamentary within the next
3	couple of days, and they will be appended to our
4	Petition for Reconsideration, and we believe that we
5	will prevail.
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Have you - you
7	don't have to answer this - have you had any
8	discussions with the staff of the Media Bureau about
9	this and gotten some kind of assurance as to how
10	they'll treat it? And again, you don't have to answer
11	if it's attorney/client work product or whatever, if
12	you don't want to.
13	MS. SADOWSKY: No, Your Honor, there's been
14	no discussions.
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
16	MS. SADOWSKY: Since the issuance of the
17	decision.
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. What if you just
19	filed, instead of a recon petition, new 316s? I mean,
20	just fresh - just say okay, fine, these were
21	unacceptable, now we'll file new ones and these are in
22	proper form. I'm just throwing that off the top of my
23	head.
24	MS. SADOWSKY: Can we go off the record?
25	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.

1	(Whereupon, a discussion off the record.)
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Did that complete what
3	you wanted to tell me?
4	MS. SADOWSKY: I'd be happy to answer any
5	further questions.
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
7	Mr. Martin, do you have any comments?
8	MR. MARTIN: We also are going to file a
9	Petition for Reconsideration on or before May 24. It
10	will probably have a little different content than the
11	executors' petition. We believe the Bureau erred in
12	dismissing the 316s. We think the case law provides
13	that the remedy for late filing of 316s or direct
14	notifications is either designation of an issue or a
15	monetary forfeiture.
16	Essentially, by dismissing these 316s, pro
17	forma application, notification type applications, the
18	Bureau has, in effect, put the skids on the distress
19	sale pleadings that are on file.
20	Your Honor, I'm sure, is aware that the
21	contract within the distress sale pleading provides
22	for all or nothing.
23	JUDGE STEINBERG: I know that.
24	MR. MARTIN: Yes, so Laser is not prepared
25	to accept three of the five stations, and we are - our

	client is an established minority-owned broadcasting
2	company in California, with 13 or 14 other radio
3	stations, and is growing. This provides an
4	opportunity for them to expand into the San Joaquin
5	Valley which is, as you know, a heavily Hispanic area
6	of California, and we feel that the distress sale
7	should not be blocked by the staff because there was
8	a delay in filing 316s. There are other more
9	traditional remedies to deal with failure to file a
10	316 or a death notice than death for the application.
11	JUDGE STEINBERG: If you don't want to
12	grapple with the decision as to whether or not to
13	grant a distress sale, this is a very clever way of
14	avoiding a decision.
15	MR. MARTIN: Well, there's another case -
16	JUDGE STEINBERG: And, I feel no - I don't
17	feel uncomfortable saying that on the record.
18	MR. MARTIN: - there's another case that
19	I'm not involved in, the Virgin Islands case I guess
20	we'll call it, where the staff also dismissed a
21	distress sale on procedural grounds.
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, yeah, I know that
23	one.
24	MR. MARTIN: And, that one is percolating
	1
25	now on the 8 <sup>th</sup> floor.

JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, it is? Okay. That was one of Judge Sipple's cases, Christian Stead, is that what it was?

MR. MARTIN: I believe so.

MS. SAWORSKI: Your Honor, in connection with that case, it's my understanding that Judge Sipple has put that proceeding on ice for at least - Jim, you can be more specific than I, but because of the pending pleadings in that case the judge has staid it.

JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.

Mr. Shook, do you want to add anything or comment on anything?

MR. SHOOK: Only to follow up on your suggestion that it would be the better course for you to find out from the Audio Division's records whether they'd be more inclined to entertain and move more quickly on a refiled 316, rather than a Petition for Reconsideration, and that doesn't mean that you shouldn't file the Petition for Reconsideration if you feel that's the right way to go, but certainly if you want to speed things along here if you get the word from the staff that, you know, the Petition for Reconsideration is filed, and it's likely to take a certain amount of time, on the other hand, if you

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

refile the 316s and the 314s it will take less time.

If you talk to them, I think they'd be amenable to at least giving you informal advice.

JUDGE STEINBERG: The problem that I had with this is, you know, maybe I'm being naive, is the letter - the April 16th letter said, "It is axiomatic that deceased individuals may not hold Commission permits and licenses." You know, that seems pretty axiomatic to me, whatever axiomatic means, and I used But, if a deceased person can't hold a the word. license or permit, and the Media Bureau will not allow the substitution of another entity to hold license or permit, what happens to that license and what happens to that permit? Is it in limbo? Is it automatically are they automatically revoked, or are they automatically rescinded? Ware, the station license to Ware, my understanding is it's operating, but without a licensee, with a dead licensee, and without another entity being responsible for holding the license, do they have to immediately stop operating because there is no legal entity that could be called the licensee? I mean, these are questions that occurred to me. What happens to this hearing, you know, let's say we do have to go to hearing, relating to the license and permit, who is the legal entity which appears at the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

hearing? It can't be Ware and it can't be Charles since they are dead, and it can't be - because the Media Bureau as of this moment wouldn't permit the substitution of those entities for the licensee. So, I'm at a total loss as to how to proceed, if we have to proceed.

You know, perhaps, hopefully, they will allow the substitutions, or, you know, grant the assignment of - whatever, grant the 316s, and, you know, take us out of this never-never land, and, hopefully, we won't have to meet here again.

But, if they act again like they acted in the April 16<sup>th</sup> letter, I may be writing you or calling you in here to prepare something for me that I can - with questions that I have to certify to the Commission, and have the Commission answer these questions, because I can't.

But, you know, that's what troubled me.

Okay. I think I've gotten the - and, I wanted to get all this out on the record, and I would have no problem with when the transcript comes with you showing it to anybody you want to show it to, it's a public document, and you can do with it what you want. I thought it was important to get these things out on the record.

1	I think what we'll do is then, if nobody
2	objects, we'll just wait and see what happens for the
3	next couple of months. Is that amenable to everybody
4	here? Mr. Martin?
5	MR. MARTIN: That's what we are here to ask
6	you to do, sir.
7	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Ms. Sadowsky, do
8	you have any problem with that?
9	MS. SADOWSKY: No.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: Do you have any problem
11	with that, Mr. Shook?
12	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, the representation
13	by Ms. Sadowsky that the petitions to have the letters
14	testamentary issued were granted that that should
15	solve our problem in terms of whether or not to move
16	forward with this now or to wait. It would seem the
17	more prudent course is to wait, and I take it you are
18	actually holding something in your hands which would
19	support what you have said?
20	MS. SADOWSKY: I have documents showing -
21	yes, given that the Media Bureau doesn't seem to trust
22	counsel's representations and phone calls, and I would
23	be happy to provide you with documentation of what has
24	been issued.

MR. SHOOK: That would be terrific. Thank

1	you.
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me, while we are
3	doing that, I do this in all my cases in which - I
4	just want to recognize that in the courtroom now is
5	William D. Freedman, F-R-E-E-D-M-A-N, who has honored
6	us here with his presence, and I just want to
7	acknowledge that and thank you for coming and looking
8	over us.
9	MR. FREEDMAN: Thank you.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Is there anything
11	that we - so, I'll just keep the case staid and then
12	we'll see what happens from here.
13	Could you do me a favor and send me
14	courtesy copies of whatever you file, both Mr. Martin
15	and Ms. Sadowsky, just -
16	MS. SADOWSKY: Sure.
17	MR. MARTIN: Yes, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: - because I'd like to
19	kind of read them and see what they have to say, as
20	part of my continuing legal education program.
21	Okay, so I appreciate it, and we'll go off
22	the record now.
23	Thank you all for coming.
24	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter was
25	concluded at 10:14 a.m.)

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

WILLIAM L. ZAWILA	
Name of Hearing	
EB DOCKET NO. 03-15	2
Docket No. (if appl	icable)
445 12°h STREET, S.W	N., WASHINGTON, D.C.
Place of Hearing	
MAY 12, 2004	
Date of Hearing	
numbers 1 through 1 complete transcript Alex Patton at the above identifications of the comprofessional verbat Work and have verificanscript by (1) of the reporting or recomparing the final reporting or record May 12, 2004	d, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 3, inclusive, are the true, accurate and prepared from the reporting by  (Reporter's Name) in attendance field hearing, in accordance with applicable current Federal Communications Commission's im reporting and transcription statement of field the accuracy of the accuracy of the comparing the typewritten transcript against ecording accomplished at the hearings and (2) proofed typewritten transcript against the ling accomplished at the hearing or conference.  Alex Patton  Legible Name and Signature of Reporter
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Reporter Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
May 12, 2004	Judy Hadley Judy Hadley
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Transcriber Name of Company: Neal Gross Co.
May 12, 2004	Kevin Murphy
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader